arXiv:1510.06004v1 [math.RA] 20 Oct 2015

Anticommutativity of Symmetric Elements under Generalized Oriented Involutions

Tonucci, L. E. Petit Lobão, T. C.

October 21, 2015

1 Abstract

Let R be a ring with $char(R) \neq 2$ whose unit group are denoted by $\mathcal{U}(R)$, G a group with involution $*$, and $\sigma : G \to \mathcal{U}(R)$ a nontrivial group homomorphism, with $ker\ \sigma = N$, satisfying $xx^* \in N$ for all $x \in G$. Let RG be the group ring of G over R and define the involution $\sigma*$ in RG by $\left(\sum_{x\in G} \alpha_x x\right)^{\sigma*} = \sum_{x\in G} \sigma(x)\alpha_x x^*$. In this paper, we will classify the group rings RG such that $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the largest subset of $(RG)^+ = \{\alpha \in RG : \alpha^{\sigma*} = \alpha\}$ that can satisfy anticommutativity under $char(R) \neq 2$.

Keywords: group rings, rings with involution, symmetric elements, generalized oriented involution.

2 Introduction

Let RG be a group ring of a group G over a commutative ring R with unity. Given $*$ an involution in G, we can naturally induce an involution in RG, defined by the linear extension of $*$.

In the context of K-theory, Novikov in [N70] introduced a really interesting non-linear involution, using a nontrivial homomorphism $\sigma : G \to \{\pm 1\}$ such that $\sigma(x^*x^{-1}) \in ker\sigma$, denoted by $\sigma*$, which maps $\sum_{x\in G} \alpha_x x \mapsto \sum_{x\in G} \alpha_x \sigma(x)x^*$, the so called oriented involution.

Given a ring R with involution $*$, we can define the set of symmetric elements, in respect of this involution, given by $R^+ = \{\alpha \in R : \alpha^* = \alpha\}$. In a fundamental work, [A68], Amistur proved that, if R^+ satisfies a polynomial identity, then so does R. Thus, asking about which identities in R^+ could be lifted to R is quite natural, and since then, many authors turn their attention to this question.

Interesting identities can be given by the Lie bracket, $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha$, and the Jordan operator, $\alpha \circ \beta = \alpha\beta + \beta\alpha$. We say that R^+ is commutative, if the restriction of the

Lie bracket to it is identically 0, in the same way, we say that it is anticommutative if the restriction of the Jordan product is 0. In [JM06, BP06, GP13a, GP14], the authors classify the group rings such that $(RG)^+$ is commutative or anticommutative. Generalizing these identities, the papers [CP12, LSS09, GPS09, L99, L00] classify RG when $(RG)^+$ satisfies Lie nilpotency, in other words, when $[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = 0,\ \forall x_i \in (RG)^+$, and Lie n-Engel, when $[x, y, y, \ldots, y] = 0,\ \forall x, y \in (RG)^+$.

Aiming for generalization, replacing the orientation $\sigma : G \to \{\pm 1\}$ with an homomorphism, which we denote similarly, $\sigma : G \to \mathcal{U}(R)$, we can also induce an involution in RG, if the involution $*$ is compatible with σ in the sense that $xx^* \in N = ker\sigma,\ \forall x \in G$. This new involution is becoming a researching object, and some of the identities explicited above were studied in [V13].

In this paper, we will classify the group rings RG, such that a suitable subset of $(RG)^+$ is anticommutative, in other words, in which the Jordan product is trivial, where $(RG)^+$ are the set of symmetric elements under a generalized oriented involution. Since in $char(R) = 2$, the Lie bracket coincides with the Jordan product, we will assume that $char(R) \neq 2$ and will use this fact without further mention.

The kernel of σ is denoted by N, the symmetric elements under $*$ in G are collected in G_*, the center of G, in $\mathcal{Z}(G)$, $(x, y) = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ is the multiplicative commutator, and $x^y = y^{-1}xy$ is the conjugation of x by y.

3 Symmetric Elements Anticommute

Firstly, we will obtain a set of generators of the symmetric elements $(RG)^+$.

Let $N_* = G_* \cap N$. For $\alpha \in RG$, write

$$\alpha = \sum_{x \in N_*} \alpha_x x + \sum_{x \in N \backslash G_*} \alpha_x x + \sum_{x \in G_* \backslash N} \alpha_x x + \sum_{x \in G \backslash (G_* \cup N)} \alpha_x x,$$

then

$$\alpha^{\sigma *} = \sum_{x \in N_*} \alpha_x x + \sum_{x \in N \backslash G_*} \alpha_x x^* + \sum_{x \in G_* \backslash N} \sigma(x)\alpha_x x + \sum_{x \in G \backslash (G_* \cup N)} \sigma(x)\alpha_x x^*,$$

so

$$\alpha^{\sigma *} = \alpha \text{ if and only if } \begin{cases} \alpha_x = \alpha_{x^*} & \text{for } g \in N \backslash G_* \\ \alpha_x = \sigma(x)\alpha_x & \text{for } g \in G_* \backslash N \\ \alpha_x = \sigma(x)\alpha_{x^*} & \text{for } g \in G \backslash (G_* \cup N), \end{cases}$$

thus $(RG)^+$ is spanned over R by elements in the sets,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_1 &= \{x : x \in N_*\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_2 &= \{\alpha x : x \in G_* \backslash N,\ \alpha(1 - \sigma(x)) = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_3 &= \{x + \sigma(x)x^* : x \in G \backslash G_*\}. \end{aligned}$$

The elements in $(RG)^+$ anticommute if and only if any two in the union of the $\mathcal{S}_i$ anticommute. Note that, if $x \in N_*$, then $x \in \mathcal{S}_1$; thus if $(RG)^+$ is anticommutative, then $2x^2 = x^2 + x^2 = 0$, and $char(R) = 2$. Since we are avoiding $char(R) = 2$, in fact, we will not study when $(RG)^+$ is anticommutative, but the largest subset of $(RG)^+$ that can satisfy this idendity under $char(R) \neq 2$. In order to do this, we will replace $\mathcal{S}_1$ with $2\mathcal{S}_1 = \{2x : x \in N_*\}$ and study the set $\mathcal{S}$ given by the spanning over the set $2\mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2 \cup \mathcal{S}_3$.

In the case $\sigma = \{\pm 1\}$, we have the following result, proved in [GP14], which will be very helpfull.

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 2.2, [GP14])**.** *Let $x \mapsto x^*$ denote an involution on a group G, and let $\sigma : G \to \{\pm 1\}$ be a nontrivial orientation homomorphism and compatible with $*$ in the sense that $\sigma(x^*) = \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in G$. Let R be a commutative ring with unity and of characteristic different from 2. Let R_2 be the set of $r \in R$ satisfying $2r = 0$. For $\alpha = \sum_{x\in G} \alpha_x x$ in the group ring RG, define $\alpha^{\sigma *} = \sum_{x\in G} \sigma(x)\alpha_x x^*$. If the elements of*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{x + \sigma(x)x^* : x \in N_* \cup (G\backslash G_*)\} \cup \{\alpha x : x \in G_*\backslash N, \alpha \in R_2\}$$

anticommute, then either one of the following statements holds:

(A) $char(R) = 4$ or 8, G is abelian and $* = Id$, or

(B) $char(R) = 4$, G is abelian and $* = Id|_N$, or

(C) $char(R) = 4$,

- *(i) $G' = \{1, s\}$ for some $s \neq 1$ (implicity $s^2 = 1$),*
- *(ii) $x^* \in \{x, sx\}$, for any $x \in G$,*
- *(iii) either the $*$-symmetric elements not in N commute or $R_2^2 = \{0\}$.*

Conversely, if G is a group with an index two subgroup N and $\sigma : G \to \{\pm 1\}$ is the orientation homomorphism with kernel N, then the elements of $\mathcal{C}$ anticommute in any of the three specified situations.

Note that $C = \{x \in G : \sigma(x) = \pm 1\}$, due to the compatibility of $*$ and σ, is a $*$-invariant subgroup of G. So, as $\mathcal{C}$ is an restriction of $\mathcal{S}$, if $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative, then so does $\mathcal{C}$, thus $C \leq G$ satisfies one of the above conditions.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative, then $char(R) = 4$ or 8.*

Proof. Notice that $1 \in N_*$, hence 2 anticommutes with itself, so, $4 = 2^2 = -2^2 = -4$, thus, $8 = 0$, which implies $char(R) = 4$ or 8, since $char(R) \neq 2$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative. If $* \neq Id$, then $char(R) = 4$, $xx^* = x^*x$, and $x^2 \in G_*$, for all $x \notin G_*$.*

Proof. Let $x \notin G_*$, by hypothesis, $(x+\sigma(x)x^*)^2+(x+\sigma(x)x^*)^2=0$, so

$$2(x^2+\sigma(x)xx^*+\sigma(x)x^*x+\sigma(x)^2(x^*)^2)=0.$$

Since the left member of the equation is 0, and $2\neq 0$, we must have $x^2\in\{xx^*,x^*x,(x^*)^2\}$. As $x\neq x^*$, the only possibility is $x^2=(x^*)^2=(x^2)^*$, so, $x^2\in G_*$. For the same reason, we can find $xx^*=x^*x$, and, for the equation holds, it is necessary that $4\sigma(x)xx^*=0$, witch implies $4=0$, since $\sigma(x)\in\mathcal{U}(R)$ and RG is freely generated by G. □

From now on, the above lemma will be used without any reference.

Assuming that $x,y\in G$ and $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative, we have the following equation that will be used several times in this work:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (x+\sigma(x)x^*)(y+\sigma(y)y^*)+(y+\sigma(y)y^*)(x+\sigma(x)x^*) \\ &= xy+yx+\sigma(xy)x^*y^*+\sigma(xy)y^*x^*+\sigma(y)xy^*+\sigma(y)y^*x+\sigma(x)yx^*+\sigma(x)x^*y. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative. Given $x,y\in G$, then, $xy=yx$ if, and only if, $x^*y=yx^*$. Moreover, if $x,y\notin G_*$, then $xy=yx=x^*y^*=y^*x^*$, $xy^*=y^*x=x^*y=yx^*$, and $2(1+\sigma(xy))=2(\sigma(x)+\sigma(y))=0$.*

Proof. If $x\in G_*$, trivialy we have the equivalence. If $y\in G_*$, then, apllying the involution in both sides of $xy=yx$, we get that $yx^*=x^*y$, and the result holds.

We can assume that $x,y\notin G_*$. Applying the involution to $xy=yx$, we get $y^*x^*=x^*y^*$, so equation (1) could be written as

$$2xy+2\sigma(xy)x^*y^*+\sigma(y)xy^*+\sigma(y)y^*x+\sigma(x)yx^*+\sigma(x)x^*y=0.$$

As $char(R)\neq 2$, we must have $xy=yx\in\{x^*y^*,xy^*,y^*x,yx^*,x^*y\}$; since $x,y\notin G_*$, $xy=yx\notin\{xy^*,y^*x,yx^*,x^*y\}$, so $xy=yx=x^*y^*=y^*x^*$. Replacing this in the equation above, we get $2(1+\sigma(xy))=0$. Hence

$$\sigma(y)xy^*+\sigma(y)y^*x+\sigma(x)yx^*+\sigma(x)x^*y=0, \tag{2}$$

and x^*y must be equal to another of the three remaining elements in the support of the left side of this equation.

If $x^*y=y^*x$, (2) gives $xy^*=yx^*$, thus, $x(y^*y)=yx^*y=yy^*x=(y^*y)x$, and, as $(x,y)=1=(x,y^*y)$, hence $(x,y^*)=1$; if $x^*y=xy^*$, in the same way we find $(x,y^*)=1$. Replacing yx^* by x^*y in (2), since $2\sigma(x)\neq 0$, we must have $xy^*=y^*x=x^*y=yx^*$, and $2(\sigma(x)+\sigma(y))=0$.

To the converse, it is sufficient to apply the result to x^* and y. □

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative. If $x, y \notin G_*$ and $\sigma(y) \neq -1$, then, $x^y \in \{x^*, x\}$ or, $\sigma(xy) = -1$, $xy = x^*y^*$ and $xy^* = x^*y$.*

Proof. Suppose that $x^y \notin \{x, x^*\}$. Since the equation (1) holds, and $x, y \notin G_*$ we have that $xy \in \{x^*y^*, y^*x^*, y^*x\}$. Let us study these three possibilities.

If $xy = y^*x$, as $\sigma(y) \neq -1$, (1) holds only if xy is equal to another element in its support. As the unique possibilities are $xy = x^*y^*$ and $xy = y^*x^*$, we have that $xy = y^*x = x^*y^*$, since $x \notin G_*$, it implies $xy = y^*x \neq y^*x^*$. As $xy = y^*x = x^*y^* \notin \{yx, y^*x^*, x^*y, xy^*, yx^*\}$, then, applying the involution, $y^*x^* = x^*y = yx \notin \{x^*y^*, xy, y^*x, yx^*, xy^*\}$, and replacing it in (1), we get

$$(1 + \sigma(y) + \sigma(xy))xy + (1 + \sigma(x) + \sigma(xy))yx + \sigma(x)yx^* + \sigma(y)xy^* = 0,$$

thus $yx^* = xy^*$ and

$$(1 + \sigma(y) + \sigma(xy)) = (1 + \sigma(x) + \sigma(xy)) = (\sigma(x) + \sigma(y)) = 0,$$

which gives a contradiction, since $2\sigma(x) \neq 0$.

If $xy = y^*x^*$, then $xy \neq x^*y^*$, since $y^*x^* = x^*y^*$, applying the involution, it implies $xy = yx$, a contradiction. By (1), we have $\sigma(xy) = -1$, then $2xy \in \mathcal{S}$, so it anticommutes with $(x + \sigma(x)x^*)$, in the other words,

$$2xyx + 2\sigma(x)xyx^* + 2x^2y + 2\sigma(x)xx^*y = 0,$$

and, since $(x, y) \neq 1$ and $x \notin G_*$, $x^2y = xyx^*$; which implies $xy = yx^*$, a contradiction.

If $xy = x^*y^*$ and $\sigma(xy) \neq -1$, then $xy \in \{yx, y^*x^*, x^*y, yx^*, xy^*, y^*x\}$, which leads to a contradiction by hypothesis or to the cases above. So $\sigma(xy) = -1$. Applying the involution in $xy = x^*y^*$, we get $yx = y^*x^*$, and (1) implies $xy^* \in \{x^*y, yx^*, y^*x\}$. As $xy \neq yx$, then $xy^* \neq y^*x$, so $xy^* = yx^*$ or $xy^* = x^*y$. Suppose that $xy^* = yx^*$, thus, $x^*y = y^*x$. As $\sigma(xy) = -1$, then $\sigma(x) = -\sigma(y)^{-1}$; on the other hand, $\sigma(y) \neq -1$, so, $x \notin N$, hence $\sigma(x^2y) \neq -1$.

Notice that $x^2y \notin G_*$, since $(x^2y)^* = y^*x^*x^* = yxx^* = yx^*x = xy^*x = xx^*y \neq x^2y$; then, applying what we proved above to x and x^2y, we obtain that $x^{x^2y} \in \{x, x^*\}$ or $x(x^2y) = x^*(x^2y)^*$. As $x^{x^2y} = x^y$, we can assume that $x(x^2y) = x^*(x^2y)^*$, otherwise $xy \in \{yx, y^*x^*, x^*y, yx^*, xy^*, y^*x\}$, which is false. So $x^3y = x^*y^*(x^2)^* = xy(x^2)^* = xyx^2$, thus $x^2y = yx^2$. On the other hand, $x^3y = x^*y^*x^*x^* = x^*yxx^* = y^*xxx^* = x^2y^*x^*$, implying $xy = y^*x^* = yx$, a contradiction. Then $xy^* = x^*y$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative. If $x, y \notin G_*$ and $\sigma(y) \neq -1$, then only one of the following holds:*

(i) $xy = yx = x^*y^* = y^*x^*$ and $2(1 + \sigma(xy)) = 0 = 2(\sigma(x) + \sigma(y))$.

(ii) $xy = yx^* = y^*x = x^*y^*$ *and* $1 + \sigma(x) + \sigma(y) + \sigma(xy) = 0$.

(iii) $xy = x^*y^* \neq yx^* = y^*x$, $\sigma(xy) = -1$, *and* $\sigma(x) = -\sigma(y)$.

(iv) $xy = yx^* \neq x^*y^* = y^*x$ *and* $\sigma(x) = -1$.

Proof. If $(x, y) = 1$, Lemma 3.4 gives (i).

Suppose $(x, y) \neq 1$, then, by Lemma 3.5, $xy = yx^*$, or $xy = x^*y^*$, $x^*y = xy^*$, and $\sigma(xy) = -1$. If $xy = yx^* = x^*y^*$, using a similar argument to the case $xy = y^*x$ of the lemma above, we can find $xy = yx^* = x^*y^* = y^*x$; so, applying the involution and replacing it in equation (1), we get (ii).

If $xy \neq yx^*$, then $xy = x^*y^*$, $\sigma(xy) = -1$ and $xy^* = x^*y$, thus $y^*x^* = yx$ and $yx^* = y^*x$. Since $(x, y) \neq 1$ and $x \notin G_*$, $yx \neq yx^* \neq x^*y$, so $yx^* \notin \{xy, yx, x^*y^*, y^*x^*, xy^*, x^*y\}$; then (1) implies $\sigma(x) = -\sigma(y)$ and (iii) holds.

Finally, suppose that $xy = yx^* \neq x^*y^*$. Untill now, we have already proved that, under the lemma assumptions, it holds (i)-(iii) or $xy = yx^* \neq x^*y^*$; so appying it to x^* and y, we get one of the above:

(a) $x^*y = yx^* = xy^* = y^*x$.

(b) $x^*y = yx = y^*x^* = xy^*$

(c) $x^*y = xy^* \neq yx = y^*x^*$.

(d) $x^*y = yx \neq xy^*$.

Notice that (a)-(c) are equivalent to (i)-(iii), so they not occur, then $x^*y = yx$; so, applying the involution, $y^*x = x^*y^*$ and replacing it in (1), we find $\sigma(x) = -1$. □

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{S}$ *is anticommutative. If* $x, y \notin G_*$, *then the following holds:*

(i) $xy \in \{yx, yx^*, y^*x, x^*y^*\}$.

(ii) $xy = yx$ *if, and only if,* $xy \in G_*$.

(iii) $xy = yx^*$ *if, and only if,* $x^*y = yx$.

Proof. To prove (i), suppose $(x, y) \neq 1$. If $\sigma(y) \neq -1$, then, by Lemma 3.5, we have the result. If $\sigma(x) \neq -1$, applying Lemma 3.5 to y^* and x, we get $y^*x = xy$ or $(y^*)x^* = (y^*)^*x = yx$, and both cases implies (i).

Then we can suppose $\sigma(x) = \sigma(y) = -1$, hence $(x - x^*)$ anticommutes with $(y - y^*)$, thus

$$xy + yx + x^*y^* + y^*x^* - x^*y - yx^* - y^*x - xy^* = 0,$$

so, $xy \in \{yx, x^*y^*, y^*x^*, x^*y, yx^*, y^*x, xy^*\}$. By hyphotesis, $xy \notin \{yx, x^*y, xy^*\}$. If $xy \notin \{x^*y^*, yx^*, y^*x\}$, then $xy = y^*x^*$; but, as $char(R) = 4$, $2xy$ can not be canceled with another element in equation (1); which leads to a contradiction, so, $xy \in \{x^*y^*, yx^*, y^*x\}$ and (i) holds.

To prove (ii), suppose $xy \in G_*$, so $xy = y^*x^*$. By (i), $xy \in \{yx^*, y^*x, x^*y^*\}$, but as $xy = y^*x^*$ and $x, y \notin G_*$, it follows that $xy \notin \{yx^*, y^*x\}$, so, $y^*x^* = xy = x^*y^*$, which implies $(x, y) = 1$. The converse is consequence of Lemma 3.4.

To verify (iii), suppose $xy = yx^*$ and $x^*y \neq yx$. In this case, $(x, y) \neq 1 \neq (x^*, y)$, so, applying item (i) to x^* and y we find $x^*y \in \{y^*x^*, xy^*\}$. If $x^*y = y^*x^*$, then $y^*x = xy = yx^*$, so, $x^*y = y^*x^* = xy^*$; if $x^*y = xy^*$, then $y^*x = yx^* = xy$, so, $x^*y = xy^* = y^*x^*$. Thus, in both cases, $x^*y = y^*x^* = xy^* \neq yx$, and applying the involution, we get $y^*x = xy = yx^* \neq x^*y^*$, so, by (1),

$$\sigma(xy) + \sigma(x) + \sigma(y) = 1 + \sigma(x) + \sigma(y) = 1 + \sigma(xy) = 0,$$

which leads to a contradiction, since $char(R) \neq 2$. To the converse, it is enough to apply the same result to x^* and y. □

Lemma 3.8. *If $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative, so $(x^2, y) = 1$, $\forall x, y \notin G_*$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \notin G_*$ such that $(x, y) \neq 1$, consequently, by item (ii) in Lemma 3.7, $xy \notin G_*$. If $x, y \in C$, by Theorem 3.1, the result holds.

If $x \in C$ and $y \notin C$, then, applying Lemma 3.6 to x and y, we get $xy = yx^*$, then $x^2y = xyx^* = y(x^*)^2 = yx^2$. If $x \notin C$ and $y \in C$, we can procede in an analogous way.

If $x, y \notin C$, by the same lemma, (ii) or (iii) occurs. If (ii) holds, the result follows as above. If (iii) holds, then applying Lemma 3.6 to xy and x, since $x^2y \notin C$, we get (i), (ii) or (iv). If (i) occurs, then $xyx = xxy$, thus, $xy = yx$, a contradiction. If (ii) or (iv) occurs, then $x(xy) = (xy)^*x = (yx)x$, thus, $(x^2, y) = 1$. □

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative. Then, for all $y \in G_*$, $x \notin G_*$, and α such that $\alpha y \in \mathcal{S}$, it holds that:*

(i) $x^y \in \{x, x^*\}$.

(ii) $xy \in G_* \Leftrightarrow xy \neq yx$.

(iii) If $xy \neq yx$, then $\alpha(1 + \sigma(x)) = 0$. If $xy = yx$, then $2\alpha = 0$.

(iv) $(x, y^2) = (x^2, y) = (xx^*, y) = 1$.

Proof. In order to prove (i), let a nonzero $\alpha \in R$ such that $\alpha y \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha y(x + \sigma(x)x^*) + (x + \sigma(x)x^*)\alpha y \\ &= \alpha yx + \alpha\sigma(x)yx^* + \alpha xy + \alpha\sigma(x)x^*y. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \neq 0$ and $x \notin G_*$, $xy \in \{yx, yx^*\}$, which is equivalent to $x^y \in \{x, x^*\}$.

To prove (ii), it is enough to note that $xy \neq yx \Leftrightarrow xy = yx^* \Leftrightarrow xy \in G_*$.

Let be $\alpha \in R$ such that $\alpha y \in \mathcal{S}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha y(x + \sigma(x)x^*) + (x + \sigma(x)x^*)\alpha y \\ &= \alpha yx + \alpha\sigma(x)yx^* + \alpha xy + \alpha\sigma(x)x^*y. \end{aligned}$$

If $xy = yx^*$, in order to guarantee the equality, it is necessary $\alpha(1 + \sigma(x)) = 0$. In the same way, if $xy = yx$, it is necessary $2\alpha = 0$. It proves (iii).

Finally, if $(x, y) \neq 1$, then, by item (i),

$$x^2y = xyx^* = y(x^*)^2 = yx^2,$$

$$xy^2 = yx^*y = y^2x \text{ and}$$

$$xx^*y = xyx = yx^*x = yxx^*.$$

So (iv) follows. □

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative. Then, for all $x, y \in G_*$ and $\alpha, \beta \in R$ such that $\alpha x, \beta y \in \mathcal{S}$, it holds*

(i) $xy = yx \Leftrightarrow xy \in G_*$.

(ii) If $xy \neq yx$, then $\alpha\beta = 0$; if $xy = yx$, then $2\alpha\beta = 0$.

(iii) $(x, y^2) = (x^2, y) = 1$.

Proof. Notice that $xy \in G_*$ if, and only if, $xy = (xy)^* = y^*x^* = yx$, since $x, y \in G_*$, thus (i) holds.

If $\alpha, \beta \in R$ satisfies $\alpha x, \beta y \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\alpha\beta xy = \beta\alpha yx$, then if $xy \neq yx$, we must have $\alpha\beta = 0$; if $xy = yx$, then $2\alpha\beta = 0$, thus (ii) holds.

Suppose that $xy \neq yx$, so, according to (i), $xy \notin G_*$. Observe that, if $x \in G_*$, then there exists a non zero $\alpha \in R$ such that $\alpha x \in \mathcal{S}$. In fact, due the compatibility of $*$ and σ, $x^2 = xx^* \in N$, thus, taking $\alpha = (1+\sigma(x))$, we conclude that $\alpha(1-\sigma(x)) = 1-\sigma(x)^2 = 0$, hence $\alpha x \in \mathcal{S}$; note that $\alpha \neq 0$ in case that $\sigma(x) \neq -1$, on the other hand, if $\sigma(x) = -1$, we can take $\alpha = 2$. So, let $\alpha \in R$ as above, thus, as $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative and $(xy)^* = yx$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha x(xy + \sigma(xy)yx) + (xy + \sigma(xy)yx)\alpha x \\ &= \alpha x^2y + \alpha\sigma(xy)xyx + \alpha xyx + \alpha\sigma(xy)yx^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $x^2y = yx^2$. The other case is analogous. □

Lemma 3.11. *If $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative, then $c_x = x^*x^{-1} \in \mathcal{Z}(G),\ \forall x \in G$.*

Proof. If $x \in G_*$, the result holds trivialy. Let it be $x \notin G_*$ and $y \in G$ such that $(x, y) \neq 1$.

If $xy = yx^*$ and $x^*y = yx$, then $x^*x^{-1}y = x^*yx^{-*} = yxx^{-*} = yx^*x^{-1}$, since $x^2 = (x^*)^2$. So, if $y \notin G_*$ and $xy = yx^*$ or $y \in G_*$, by item (iii) of Lemma 3.7 or item (i) of Lemma 3.9, we find $(x^*x^{-1}, y) = 1$.

Suppose that $y \notin G_*$ and $xy \neq yx^*$. By item (i) of Lemma 3.7, $xy \in \{y^*x, x^*y^*\}$. If $xy = y^*x$, then $y^*x^* = x^*y$ and $x^{-1}y^* = yx^{-1}$, thus, $x^{-1}x^*y = x^{-1}y^*x^* = yx^{-1}x^*$. If $xy = x^*y^*$, then $yx = y^*x^*$, thus, $x^*x^{-1}y^* = x^*x^{-*}y = y = yx^{-*}x^* = y^*x^{-1}x^*$; in other words, $(x^*x^{-1}, y^*) = 1$, which implies $(x^*x^{-1}, y) = 1$. Therefore $x^*x^{-1} \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$. □

The following Lemma is similar to Lemma 3.4 of [JM06].

Lemma 3.12. *If $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative, then $x^* = c_x x,\ \forall x \in G$, where $c_x \in G_* \cap \mathcal{Z}(G)$ and $c_x^2 = 1$. Furthermore, $c_{xy} = c_x c_y (x, y)$ and, if $(x, y) \neq 1$, then $c_{xy} \in \{c_x, c_y, (x, y)\}$.*

Proof. We will prove firstly that $(x, y) \in G_*,\ \forall x, y \in G$. Take $x, y \in G$ such that $(x, y) \neq 1$. If $x \notin G_*$ and $y \in G_*$, then, by item (i) of Lemma 3.9, $(x, y) = x^{-1}x^y = x^{-1}x^* \in G_*$, since $x^2 \in G_*$; the case $x \in G_*$ and $y \notin G_*$ is analogous. If $x, y \notin G_*$ or $x, y \in G_*$, then, by item (ii) of Lemma 3.7 or item (i) of Lemma 3.10, we get $xy, x^{-1}y^{-1} \notin G_*$, thus, by item (ii) of Lemma 3.7, $(x, y) = (x^{-1}y^{-1})(xy) \in G_*$ if, and only if, $(xy, x^{-1}y^{-1}) = 1$; on the other hand, as $x^2, y^2 \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$, $(xy, x^{-1}y^{-1}) = y^{-1}x^{-1}yxyx^{-1}y^{-1} = 1$. Thus $(x, y) \in G_*$.

Now we will prove that $(x, y)^2 = 1,\ \forall x, y \in G$, in other words, $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = yxy^{-1}x^{-1}$.

Take $x, y \in G$. If $(x, y) = 1$, we have nothing to prove. Suppose that $(x, y) \neq 1$. By Lemma 3.7 and 3.9, we know that $xy \in \{yx^*, y^*x, x^*y^*\}$.

If $xy = yx^*$, then, by item (iii) and (i) of Lemma 3.7 and 3.9, respectively, we find $yx = x^*y$, so

$$\begin{aligned} xyx^{-1}y^{-1} &= xx^{-*}yy^{-1} \\ &= xx^{-*} \\ &= x^*x^{-1} \qquad (x^2 = (x^*)^2) \\ &= x^*yy^{-1}x^{-1} \\ &= yxy^{-1}x^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The case $xy = y^*x$ is analogous.

If $xy = x^*y^*$, since $(x, y) \in G_*$ and $x^2, y^2 \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} xyx^{-1}y^{-1} &= y^{-*}x^{-*}y^*x^* \\ &= y^{-1}x^{-1}yx \\ &= (yy^{-1})y^{-1}(xx^{-1})x^{-1}yx \\ &= yy^{-2}xx^{-2}yx \\ &= yxy^{-1}x^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $(x, y)^2 = 1,\ \forall x, y \in G$.

Given $x \in G$, let $c_x = x^* x^{-1}$ and notice that $c_x^{-1} = x x^{-*} = x^* x^{-1} = c_x$, since $x^2 \in G_*$, so $c_x^2 = 1$ and $c_x \in G_*$. Take $y \in G$, then $(xy)^* = c_{xy} xy$ and, on the other hand, by Lemma 3.11, $(xy)^* = y^* x^* = c_y y c_x x = c_x c_y yx$, in other words, $c_{xy} = c_x c_y (y^{-1}, x^{-1}) = c_x c_y (x, y)$.

Notice that Lemmas 3.7 and 3.9 claim if $(x, y) \neq 1$, then one of following holds:

(a) $x^* = y^{-1} x y = y x y^{-1}$;

(b) $y^* = x^{-1} y x = x y x^{-1}$;

(c) $xy = x^* y^*$ and $x y^* = x^* y$.

So if (a) occurs, then $c_x = x^{-1} x^* = x^{-1} y^{-1} x y = (x, y)$ and $c_{xy} = (x, y) c_y (x, y) = c_y$; analogously, if (b) occurs, then $c_y = (x, y)$ and $c_x = c_{xy}$; finally, if (c) holds, then $c_x = x^* x^{-1} = y^* y^{-1} = c_y$ and $c_{xy} = (x, y)$, thus (iii) holds. □

Lemma 3.13. *Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative. If $x, y \notin G_*$, then:*

(i) If $(x, y) = 1$, then $c_x = c_y$ and $2(1 + \sigma(xy)) = 0 = 2(\sigma(x) + \sigma(y))$;

(ii) If $(x, y) \neq 1$, then:

(a) $(x, y) = c_x = c_y = c_{xy}$ and $(1 + \sigma(x) + \sigma(y) + \sigma(xy)) = 0$, or;

(b) $(x, y) = c_x \neq c_y = c_{xy}$ and $\sigma(x) = -1$, or;

(c) $(x, y) = c_y \neq c_x = c_{xy}$ and $\sigma(y) = -1$, or;

(d) $(x, y) = c_{xy} \neq c_x = c_y$ and $\sigma(xy) = -1$ and $\sigma(x) = -\sigma(y)$.

Proof. To show (i) notice that if $(x, y) = 1$ and $x, y \notin G_*$, then, by item (iii) of Lemma 3.12, $c_{xy} = c_x c_y$. By item (ii) of Lemma 3.7, $xy \in G_*$, thus, $c_x c_y = 1$, so $c_x = c_y$, since $c_x^2 = c_y^2 = 1$.

To verify (ii), let us consider some cases. If $x, y \in C$, by Theorem 3.1, and equation (1), we obtain (a) easily. If $y \notin C$, then Lemma 3.6 implies (a), (b) or (d). If $x \notin C$, using Lemma 3.6, we get (a), (c) or (d). □

The following proposition will be usefull in the classification of the group rings such that $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative, as well it gives a better description of the involution of item (B) of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.14. *If $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative, then one of the following holds:*

(i) G is abelian and $* = Id$.

(ii) G is abelian, $* \neq Id$, and exists $s \in G_* \cap \mathcal{Z}(G)$ such that $x^* = \{x, xs\}$, $\forall x \in G$.

(iii) $G' = \{1, s\} \subset G_* \cap \mathcal{Z}(G)$, $s^2 = 1$ and $x^* = \{x, xs\}$, $\forall x \in G$.

(iv) $*|_C \neq Id$, $*|_N = Id$, $G' = \langle s,t\rangle \subset G_* \cap \mathcal{Z}(G)$, *where* s *and* t *are the only nontrivial commutators of* G, $C' = \{1\}$ *or* $C' = \{1,s\}$, $s^2 = t^2 = 1$, $x^* = \{x, xs\}\, \forall x \in C$, *and* $x^* = \{x, xt\}\ \forall x \notin C$. *Futhermore,* $(G\backslash(C\cup G_*), G\backslash(C\cup G_*)) \subset \{1,t\}$, $(C\backslash G_*, G) \subset \{1,s\}$, *and* $(C\backslash G_*, G\backslash(C\cup G_*)) = \{s\}$.

Proof. Suppose that G is abelian and $* \neq Id$. Fix $x \notin G_*$ and denotes $c_x = s$. Given $y \notin G_*$, as G is abelian, by item (i) of Lemma 3.13, $c_x = c_y$, thus $c_y = s$ and $y^* = ys$, $\forall y \notin G_*$, hence (ii) holds.

Applying Theorem 3.1 and what we prove above, we can conclude that the involution in C is given by $x^* \in \{x, sx\}$, $\forall x \in C$, for some $s \in G_*$.

We can assume that G is non abelian.

Suppose that $*|_C = Id$, thus C is abelian. Fix $z \notin G_*$ and $s = c_z$, thus, $z \notin C$. Let $x \notin G_*$, and applying Lemma 3.13, we have $(z,x) = 1$ and $c_x = s$, or, $(z,x) = s = c_x = c_{zx}$, otherwise, $*|_C \neq Id$; thus, $x^* \in \{x, sx\}$ $\forall x \in G$, and $(x,y) \in \{1,s\}$, $\forall x,y \notin G_*$. Let $x,y \in G$ such that $(x,y) \neq 1$. If $x \notin G_*$ and $y \in G_*$, by Lemma 3.9, we have $xy \in G_*$, then, by item (ii) of Lemma 3.12, $c_{xy} = c_x c_y(x,y)$; in other words, $1 = c_x(x,y)$, which implies $(x,y) = s$, for $c_x^2 = 1$. If $x,y \in G_*$, by Lemma 3.10, $xy \notin G_*$, so $c_{xy} = s$, then, by item (ii) of Lemma 3.12, $c_{xy} = c_x c_y(x,y)$, then, $s = (x,y)$. This way, we conclude that (iii) holds.

Suppose $*|_C \neq Id$. Let $x \in C\backslash G_*$ and $s = c_x$ given by Theorem 3.1. let $y \in G$ such that $(x,y) \neq 1$. Suppose $y \notin G_*$; if $y \in C$, by Theorem 3.1, $(x,y) = s$; if $y \notin C$, by Lemma 3.13, (a) or (b) holds, since $x \in C$ and $y \notin C$, thus, in both cases, $(x,y) = c_x = s$. On the other hand, if $y \in G_*$, by Lemma 3.9, $xy \in G_*$, then, by item (ii) of Lemma 3.12, $c_{xy} = c_x c_y(x,y)$, so, $1 = c_x(x,y)$, which implies $(x,y) = s$, since $s^2 = 1$. Thus $(C\backslash G_*, G) \subset \{1,s\}$, since $c_y = s$ $\forall y \in C\backslash G_*$.

Let $x,y \in G\backslash(G_*\cup C)$ and $c_x = t$. By Lemma 3.13, if $(x,y) = 1$, then $t = c_x = c_y$; if $(x,y) \neq 1$, then $t = c_x = c_y = (x,y)$, or, $t = c_x = c_y$, $\sigma(xy) = -1$, $s = c_{xy} = (x,y)$. Thus, the involution in $G\backslash C$ is given by $x^* = \{x, xt = tx\}$ $\forall x \notin C$. Let $x \in G\backslash(G_* \cup C)$ and $y \in G_*$ such that $(x,y) \neq 1$; this way, by Lemma 3.9, $xy \in G_*$, so by Lemma 3.12, $c_{xy} = c_x c_y(x,y)$, in other words, $1 = c_x(x,y)$, then $(x,y) = t$, thus $(G\backslash G_*, G) \subset \{1,s,t\}$. Finnaly, let $x,y \in G_*$, such that $(x,y) \neq 1$; by Lemma 3.10, $xy \notin G_*$ and again by Lemma 3.12, $c_{xy} = c_x c_y(x,y)$, so, $(x,y) = c_{xy} = s$ or t; then we conclude that G has at most two nontrivial commutators s e t; besides that $x^* = \{x, xs\}$, $\forall x \in C$ and $x^* = \{x, xt\}$ $\forall x \notin C$.

If $t = s$, (iii) holds. Suppose $t \neq s$, thus, $G\backslash(G_* \cup C) \neq \emptyset$. If $*|_N \neq Id$, then taking $y \in N\backslash G_*$ and $x \notin (G_* \cup C)$, by Lemma 3.13, we find $s = c_x = c_y = t$, a contradiction, since $s \neq t$, thus $*|_N = Id$. Finnaly, if exist $x \in C\backslash G_*$ and $y \in G\backslash(C \cup G_*)$ such that $(x,y) = 1$, again, by Lemma 3.13, $s = c_x = c_y = t$, a contradiction; moreover, as $(G\backslash G_*, G) \subset \{1,s\}$, so we conclude that $(C\backslash G_*, G\backslash(C \cup G_*)) = \{s\}$. □

Theorem 3.15. *Let R be a commutative ring of $char(R) \neq 2$ and G a group with an involution $*$ compatible with an orientation σ. $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative if, and only if, the following holds:*

(i) *(a)* *$char(R) = 8, 4$, G is abelian and $* = Id$; or*

(b) *$char(R) = 4$, $* \neq Id$ and G satisfies one of the following:*

(1) *G is abelian and exists $s \in N_* \cap \mathcal{Z}(G)$ such that $x^* = \{x, xs\}$, $\forall x \in G$.*

(2) *$G' = \{1, s\} \subset N_* \cap \mathcal{Z}(G)$, $s^2 = 1$, and $x^* = \{x, xs\}$, $\forall x \in G$.*

(3) *$*|_C \neq Id$, $*|_N = Id$, $G' = \langle s, t\rangle \subset N_* \cap \mathcal{Z}(G)$, where s and t are the only nontrivial commutators of G, $C' = \{1\}$ or $C' = \{1, s\}$, $s^2 = t^2 = 1$, $x^* = \{x, xs\}$, $\forall x \in C$, and $x^* = \{x, xt\}$ $\forall x \notin C$. Moreover, $(G\backslash(C \cup G_*), G\backslash(C \cup G_*)) \subset \{1, t\}$, $(C\backslash G_*, G) \subset \{1, s\}$ e $(C\backslash G_*, G\backslash(C \cup G_*)) = \{s\}$.*

(ii) *If $x, y \notin G_*$ and $(x, y) = 1$, then $2(1 + \sigma(xy)) = 0 = 2(\sigma(x) + \sigma(y))$; if $\sigma(x) \neq -1 \neq \sigma(y)$, and $(x, y) \neq 1$, then $(1 + \sigma(x) + \sigma(y) + \sigma(xy)) = 0$.*

(iii) *$\forall x \notin G_*$, $y \in G_*$ and $\alpha \in R$ such that $\alpha y \in \mathcal{S}$, if $xy \neq yx$, then $\alpha(1 + \sigma(x)) = 0$; if $xy = yx$, then $2\alpha = 0$.*

(iv) *$\forall x, y \in G_*$ and $\alpha, \beta \in R$ such that $\alpha x, \beta y \in \mathcal{S}$. If $xy \neq yx$, then $\alpha\beta = 0$; if $xy = yx$, then $2\alpha\beta = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ is anticommutative. By Lemma 3.2, we know that $char(R) = 4$ or 8. We can easily check that Id defines an involution only if G is abelian, thus (i)(a) occurs.

Suppose that $* \neq Id$. Thus, the Lemma 3.3 implies $char(R) = 4$ and, Proposition 3.14 ensure (i)(b), so (i) holds.

The item (ii) follows by Lemma 3.12, item (iii) by item (iii) of Lemma 3.9, and item (iv) by item (ii) of Lemma 3.10.

To prove the converse, denotes $c_a = a^*a^{-1}$, $\forall a \in G$ and notice that, by (i), $c_a \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$.

Let $x, y \notin G_*$; we must prove that

$$(x + \sigma(x)x^*)(y + \sigma(y)y^*) + (y + \sigma(y)y^*)(x + \sigma(y)x^*) = 0,$$

in other words,

$$xy + yx + \sigma(xy)xyc_xc_y + \sigma(xy)yxc_xc_y + \sigma(y)xyc_y + \sigma(y)yxc_y + \sigma(x)yxc_x + \sigma(x)xyc_x, \quad (3)$$

vanishes.

Notice that (a), (1), (2), and condition $(C\backslash G_*, G\backslash(C \cup G_*))$ in (3), ensure that if $(x, y) = 1$, then $c_x = c_y$ and, by (ii), $2(1 + \sigma(xy)) = 0 = 2(\sigma(x) + \sigma(y))$, thus (3) could be rewriten by

$$xy + xy + \sigma(xy)xy + \sigma(xy)xy + \sigma(y)xyc_x + \sigma(y)xyc_x + \sigma(x)xyc_x + \sigma(x)xyc_x,$$

in other words,

$$2(1 + \sigma(xy))xy + 2(\sigma(x) + \sigma(y))xyc_x = 0.$$

Suppose that $(x, y) \neq 1$. If $\sigma(x) = -1$, then, by (i), $c_x = s = (x, y)$, thus (3), becomes

$$\begin{gathered} xy + xys + \sigma(xy)xysc_y + \sigma(xy)xys^2c_y + \sigma(y)xyc_y + \sigma(y)xysc_y + \sigma(x)xys^2 + \sigma(x)xys \\ = \\ (1 + \sigma(x))(xy + xys) + (\sigma(y) + \sigma(xy))(xyc_y + xysc_y) \\ = \\ 0; \end{gathered}$$

if $\sigma(y) = -1$, we can prove it in an analogous way; if $\sigma(x) \neq -1 \neq \sigma(y)$, then, if occurs, we obtain $c_x = c_y = s = (x, y)$; if (3) occurs, then $x, y \notin C$, since $*|_N = Id$, thus $c_x = c_y = t = (x, y)$. In both cases,

$$\begin{gathered} xy + xyc_x + \sigma(xy)xyc_x^2 + \sigma(xy)xyc_x^3 + \sigma(y)xyc_x + \sigma(y)xyc_x^2 + \sigma(x)xyc_x^2 + \sigma(x)xyc_x \\ = \\ (1 + \sigma(x) + \sigma(y) + \sigma(xy))(xy + xyc_x) \\ = \\ 0. \end{gathered}$$

Let $x \notin G_*$ and $y \in G_*$. we must prove that $(x + \sigma(x)x^*)$ and αy anticommutes, where $\alpha \in R$ is such that $\alpha y \in \mathcal{S}$. If $(x, y) \neq 1$, then, by Lemma 3.9, $xy = yx^*$, $x^*y = yx$, and, by (iii), $\alpha(1 + \sigma(x)) = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} (x + \sigma(x)x^*)\alpha y + \alpha y(x + \sigma(x)x^*) &= \alpha xy + \alpha\sigma(x)x^*y + \alpha yx + \alpha\sigma(x)yx^* \\ &= \alpha xy + \alpha\sigma(x)yx + \alpha yx + \alpha\sigma(x)xy \\ &= \alpha(1 + \sigma(x))(xy + yx) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $(x, y) = 1$, then $(x^*, y) = 1$ and we can proceed in an analogous way to prove that $(x + \sigma(x)x^*)$ anticommutes with αy, since, $2\alpha = 0$, by (iii).

Let $x, y \in G_*$ and $\alpha, \beta \in R$ such that $\alpha x, \beta y \in \mathcal{S}$. Using item (iv), we can prove as in the previous case, that αx anticommutes with βy. □

References

[A68] AMITSUR, S. A. Identities in rings with involution. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, v.7, n. 1, p. 63-68, 1968.

[BP06] BROCHE CRISTO, O.; POLCINO MILIES, C. Symmetric elements under orientated involutions in group rings, *Communications in Algebra*, v. 34, n. 9, p. 3347-3356, 2006.

[CP12] CASTILLO GÓMEZ, J. H.; POLCINO MILIES, C. Lie Properties of Symmetric Elements Under Oriented Involutions, *Communications in Algebra*, v. 40, n. 12, p. 4404-4419, 2012.

[GP13a] GOODAIRE, E. G.; POLCINO MILIES, Involutions and Anticommutativity in Group Rings. Em: *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, v. 52, n. 2, p. 344-353, 2013.

[GP14] GOODAIRE, E. G.; POLCINO MILIES, Oriented Group Involutions and Anticommutativity in Group Rings. Em: *Communications in Algebra*, v. 42, n. 4, p. 1657-1667, 2014.

[GPS09] GIAMBRUNO, A.; POLCINO MILIES, C.; SEHGAL, S. K. Lie properties of symmetric elements in group rings, *Journal of Algebra*, v. 321, n. 3, p. 890-902, 2009.

[JM06] JESPERS, E.; RUIZ MARÍN, M. On symmetric elements and symmetric units in group rings, *Communications in Algebra*, v. 34, n. 2, p. 727-736, 2006.

[L99] LEE, G. T. Group Rings Whose Symmetric Elements are Lie Nilpotent, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, v. 127, n. 11, p. 3153-3159, 1999.

[L00] LEE, G. T. The Lie n-Engel Property in Group Rings, *Communications in Algebra*, v. 28, n. 2, p. 867-881, 2000.

[LSS09] LEE, G. T.; SEHGAL, S. K.; SPINELLI, E. Lie properties of symmetric elements in group rings II, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, v. 213, n. 6, p. 1173-1178, 2009.

[N70] NOVIKOV, S. P. Algebraic construction and properties of Hermitian analogues of K-theory over rings with involution from the viewpoint of Hamiltonian formalism. Applications to differential topology and the theory of characteristic classes, II.*Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, v. 34, n. 3, p. 475-500, 1970.

[V13] VILLA, A. H. *Involuções de grupo orientadas em álgebras de grupo*. 2013. 76f. Thesis (Doctorate) - Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, 2013.